C/F 100/1

(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.NO. 1061 OF 2002

BETWEEN

Pradeep Kumar Gupta S/o Late Shambhu Prasad, aged about 40 years, resident of Village Manvari, Tahsil Manindragarh, District Korea (Chhattisgarh).

PETITIONER

AND

The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary Department of Revenue,
Mantralay, D.K.S.Building, Raipur, Tahsil and District Raipur (CG).

2. The Collector, Korea,
District Korea (CG).

3. The Sarpanch,
Gram Sand Hasawahi, Tahsil Manendragarh
District Korea (CG).

4. Janardhan Pave , R/o Village Manvari, Tahsil Manendragarh District Korea (CG).

5. Chamaru S/o Hira Lal Yadav , R/o Village Manvari, Tahsil Manendragarh, District Korea (CG).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

P. R. No. 1086/02
Presented by Shri Sanjeev Pandey
dated 23/8/02

शपथ आयुक्त,
23/8

(53)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1061 / सन् 2002

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

04.11.2003

Shri Sunil Sahu, Counscl for thc pctitioncr.

Ku. Sofia Khan, Dy. Government Advocate for the State.

Heard.

By this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India, the petitioner challenges the cancellation of Patta granted.

The petitioner has not filed any document by which Patta was granted. He has merely filed 'Bhu Adhikar Avam Runa Pustika'. He has also not filed any document regarding cancellation of Patta. What is filed is the application submitted by the petitioner before the Naib Tahsildar whereby stay was prayed for. The order sheets have not been filed. The documents have also been filed addressed to the Station House Officer. The petition in fact neither discloses the facts correctly nor the grounds. The documents filed do not properly and correctly exhibit state of affairs.

Under the circumstances, the learned counsel for the pctitioncr has not bccn ablc to makc out a casc for cxcrcising thc jurisdiction under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India. However, it is observed that if the petitioner has any right, he may, however, file a suitable petition before Competent Revenue Court or

(54)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	raise the matter before the Competent Civil Court by taking recourse to appropriate remedy. With the aforesaid observation, this petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No.383/2002 for ad-interim relief and I.As.No.3380/2002 and 3930/2002 also stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.